

July 28, 2022

7983

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

SHERROD, TEED, VANDERHAGEN and WARE,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

Case No. 17-10164

VNA and LAN,

Defendants.

/

JURY TRIAL

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDITH E. LEVY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

JULY 28, 2022

APPEARANCES:

For the Corey M. Stern  
Plaintiffs: Levy Konigsberg, LLP  
605 Third Avenue, 33rd Floor  
New York, New York 10158

Moshe Maimon  
Levy Konigsberg, LLP  
605 Third Avenue, 33rd Floor  
New York, New York 10158

Melanie Daly  
Levy Konigsberg, LLP  
605 Third Avenue, 33rd Floor  
New York, New York 10158

*(Appearances Continued on Next Page)*

TO OBTAIN A  
CERTIFIED  
TRANSCRIPT:

**JESECA C. EDDINGTON, RDR, RMR, CRR, FCRR**  
**FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**200 EAST LIBERTY STREET**  
**ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48104**

July 28, 2022

7984

1 For the VNA  
2 Defendants:

Daniel Stein  
Mayer Brown LLP  
1221 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10020

3

4

Mark R. Ter Molen  
Mayer Brown LLP  
71 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606

5

6 For the LAN  
7 Defendants:

David C. Kent  
Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP  
1717 Main Street, Suite 5400  
Dallas, Texas 75201

8

9

10

Philip A. Erickson  
Plunkett & Cooney  
325 East Grand River Avenue, Suite 250  
East Lansing, Michigan 48823

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

July 28, 2022

7985

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

I N D E XWITNESSESPAGE

(None)

EXHIBITSMarkedAdmitted

(None)

MISCELLANYPAGE

Proceedings.....7986  
Certificate.....8001

July 28, 2022

7986

P R O C E E D I N G S

THE CLERK: Calling Sherrod, Teed, Vanderhagen, and Ware vs VNA and LAN.

THE COURT: Could I have appearances, please.

MR. STERN: Sure.

Corey Stern and Moshe Maimon for the plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. STEIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

Daniel Stein and Mark Ter Molen for VNA.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. KENT: And David Kent and Phil Erickson for LAN.

THE COURT: Good. Please be seated.

We have a note from the jury that you all have. It reads, "The jury is hung. We believe further deliberation will not produce a unanimous decision."

And it's signed by the jury foreperson.

One of my jobs is to try to stay a little ahead of all of you when possible. That's not easy. And the jury. So over the course of this week, I've been doing a little research on hung juries. I'm sure you have, too.

There are a couple of routes we can go. There's the traditional Allen charge from the Sixth Circuit pattern jury instructions for criminal cases.

And I'm not inclined to just modify that. I started -- you know, it's all marked up.

July 28, 2022

7987

1 But there is a civil -- Michigan Civil Jury  
2 Instruction 60.02 that suggests that a slightly more brief  
3 recitation to the jury might be appropriate.

4 And then what I have to do -- because I have a case  
5 that Leslie provided to me, United States v. Brika. And what  
6 I have to figure out is whether that's a case that endorses  
7 this in a Sixth Circuit.

8 Have you all looked at that?

9 MR. MAIMON: No.

10 MR. STERN: No.

11 THE COURT: Okay. It's 416 F.3d 513, Sixth Circuit,  
12 2005.

13 This is what the Michigan pattern instruction reads.  
14 It says, "The Court has previously instructed you that it is  
15 your duty to determine the facts from evidence received in  
16 open court and to apply the law to those facts, and in this  
17 way, decide the case.

18 "I am now instructing you to return to the jury room  
19 for further deliberations. In your deliberations, you should  
20 reexamine the questions submitted with a proper regard and  
21 consideration for each other's opinions. You should listen to  
22 each other's arguments with open minds and make every  
23 reasonable effort to reach a verdict."

24 But then the instruction offers this, which I think  
25 could be helpful, but I want to hear your thoughts.

July 28, 2022

7988

1 "Because it appears that you are" -- you can say, "at  
2 an impasse or in need of assistance, I invite you to list the  
3 issues that either divide or confuse you so that I can see if  
4 I can be of some assistance in clarifying the final  
5 instructions."

6 Would that be of any help to us?

7 MR. MAIMON: So --

8 MR. KENT: My immediate reaction, Your Honor, is, no,  
9 that it would invite --

10 THE COURT: I know.

11 MR. KENT: -- more division and confusion, frankly.

12 MR. STEIN: And I would also add we want to be  
13 careful to do anything that would invite them to reveal --

14 THE COURT: The vote.

15 MR. STEIN: The vote. Exactly.

16 THE COURT: I know. I'm going to pull up U.S. v.  
17 Brika right now.

18 So what do you all think I should do?

19 MR. MAIMON: So I think that there are a couple of  
20 things and different courts handle these types of situations  
21 differently.

22 The Michigan Model Jury Instruction 60.02 is in line,  
23 in my experience, with cases where this is an initial charge  
24 that a Court might give before the traditional Allen charge,  
25 commonly known or colloquially known as the dynamite charge by

1       some -- by some.

2               That is much more in line with 9.04 of the Sixth  
3       Circuit's model charges. And I think that --

4               THE COURT: Right. I've got the Sixth Circuit's 9.04  
5       deadlocked jury charge.

6               MR. MAIMON: Right. So I think that there are in  
7       some ways maybe three steps that we should think about,  
8       because we don't know. And it's a long verdict form.

9               But it may be that the jury has some confusion about  
10       what it does in certain circumstances. I know we tried to be  
11       as clear as we could with regard to the instructions. But if  
12       upon further examination -- and I'm pointing to 9.03 of the  
13       Sixth Circuit model charge recognizing that this isn't a  
14       criminal --

15              THE COURT: 9.03?

16              MR. MAIMON: Partial verdicts.

17              THE COURT: Oh, partial.

18              MR. MAIMON: That if upon -- that if they look at it  
19       and there is a partial verdict that they can return, this  
20       charge tells them that they should -- you know, with  
21       unanimity, of course, return that verdict and then continue  
22       their deliberations as to something that they have not been  
23       able to reach unanimity at.

24              That's 9.03 of the Sixth Circuit charge.

25              I think that passing that, the Michigan pattern

July 28, 2022

7990

1 instruction is not bad with regard to a first attempt to speak  
2 to the jury. But I don't think that it's in place of an Allen  
3 charge when -- if they would come back and say, "We tried. We  
4 can't do it now."

5 Because it does have the language that is  
6 traditionally given by the courts, which is that, you know,  
7 there's no reason to believe that the next jury of however  
8 many will hear any different evidence, will be able to reach a  
9 verdict better than you can.

10 It's a court-appointed charge. It's traditionally  
11 given in situations such as this. So I'm not advocating going  
12 straight to the traditional Allen charge. But I don't think  
13 -- we would be opposed to giving the Michigan charge if that's  
14 the end of the game.

15 THE COURT: What if we start with the Michigan charge  
16 but none of this -- give me a list of issues. Because that's  
17 a bad -- it seems like a bad idea. But it's in here, so I  
18 wanted to discuss it.

19 What if I do that. But I want to read the partial --  
20 I just have to get it up here -- the partial verdict  
21 instruction.

22 "Members of the jury, you do not have to reach  
23 unanimous agreement on all of the counts" -- we'll say instead  
24 of "charges" -- "before returning a verdict on one of them.  
25 If you have reached unanimous agreement on one of the counts"



July 28, 2022

7991

1 -- is it clear to them that there are two counts?

2 MR. KENT: I would say no. There are clear there are  
3 two defendants.

4 THE COURT: Two defendants.

5 So I could say -- "Members of the jury, you do not  
6 have to reach unanimous agreement with respect to both VNA and  
7 LAN. Then if you have reached unanimous agreement regarding  
8 one of the defendants, you may return a verdict on that  
9 defendant and then continue deliberating on the other.

10 "You do not have to do this, but you can if you  
11 wish"? They do have to do it. They do. We are going to make  
12 them go back and keep working. So I'm going to take that out.

13 MR. MAIMON: I think what they mean is you don't have  
14 to give a partial verdict if you don't wish.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. MAIMON: Because if you look at the next two  
17 paragraphs, it makes -- it makes it clear.

18 MR. STEIN: And I would just note here, they haven't  
19 suggested that that's what's going on, that they've reached  
20 decision on one defendant but not the other. And I think this  
21 instruction is more proper when a jury has indicated that.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Has said in some way that this  
23 is --

24 MR. MAIMON: Well , if you look at the use note  
25 there.

July 28, 2022

7992

1 THE COURT: Yeah.

2 MR. MAIMON: It says, "This instruction should be  
3 used if jurors ask about, attempt to, return, or otherwise  
4 indicate they may have reached a partial verdict."

5 But then it goes on to say, "It may also be  
6 appropriate if the jury has deliberated for an extensive  
7 period of time."

8 And all I'm saying is before we start telling them  
9 something else --

10 THE COURT: What if I --

11 MR. MAIMON: -- this can be given in conjunction with  
12 the model jury Michigan charge.

13 THE COURT: What if I do this? What if I read the  
14 Model Instruction 60.02. And then say, "If you believe you  
15 have reached a partial verdict, you may inform the Court  
16 through a -- by sending a note"?

17 Just, "If you believe you have reached a partial  
18 verdict" --

19 MR. MAIMON: Because if you read the instructions  
20 carefully, there's nothing in there that tells them that if  
21 they're hung as to one defendant --

22 THE COURT: Right.

23 MR. MAIMON: -- then they can return a partial  
24 verdict.

25 MR. KENT: Your Honor, we don't tell them what a

July 28, 2022

7993

1 partial verdict means --

2 THE COURT: I know.

3 MR. KENT: -- so that phrase is not very helpful to  
4 them. I think that's likely to say, "Well, what does that  
5 mean?"

6 THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll say, "A partial verdict  
7 is a unanimous decision on one defendant and not the other."

8 How's that?

9 MR. MAIMON: I think that what a --

10 MR. KENT: It's got to be -- well -- yeah, I guess  
11 that could --

12 MR. STEIN: And then maybe just say if you --

13 MR. KENT: You know, Judge, my reaction to all this  
14 is that the best thing to do is to start with that first  
15 paragraph of 60.02, see where that goes. Give everyone time  
16 to really think and look through and parse through what is the  
17 way you ask them about a partial verdict.

18 MR. STEIN: I agree with that.

19 MR. KENT: I agree with Mr. Maimon. One step at a  
20 time. You don't do an Allen charge until you're forced to.

21 THE COURT: Yeah.

22 MR. KENT: And that first paragraph of 60.02 is good  
23 enough.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. MAIMON: If we're going to give 60.02, Your

July 28, 2022

7994

1 Honor, then I think that even though -- I agree that we  
2 shouldn't be asking them for a list. But I do think that the  
3 Court can, without asking for a list, give what's basically  
4 the second part of that -- of that sentence, which says that,  
5 "If you have any further questions or there's anything else  
6 that we can provide to you, please send a note."

7 THE COURT: That seems like a good --

8 MR. STEIN: That seems reasonable to us.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Kent?

10 MR. KENT: Okay. I think we can do that.

11 THE COURT: Okay. So read that to me.

12 MR. MAIMON: I didn't read it from anywhere.

13 THE COURT: "If there -- if you have any other  
14 questions, please let us know -- please let me know."

15 MR. MAIMON: Well, here it says, "If I can be of some  
16 assistance or if we can be of some assistance in answering any  
17 questions or providing any more exhibits, please let us know."

18 MR. KENT: Again, Your Honor, that suggests, "Well,  
19 let's go out and talk to the judge, and she can tell us what  
20 to do."

21 THE COURT: Yeah.

22 MR. KENT: I don't think that --

23 THE COURT: They want to do that. I can feel it when  
24 I go in the room. They each want to talk to me, and I don't  
25 talk to them, of course, but.

July 28, 2022

7995

1 MR. KENT: I'm glad you raised that, Your Honor,  
2 because that has been a concern to us as to whether there's  
3 any untoward effect, intended or not --

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MR. KENT: -- from the judge talking to them as  
6 opposed to the bailiff just handing them --

7 THE COURT: Oh, there is no conversation. Okay.

8 So I am going to say, "If you have any further  
9 questions or communication, please send another note."

10 Do you want me to bring them into court to read this?  
11 I'm happy to do that. I think it is a solemn act to have them  
12 here.

13 MR. MAIMON: Yes, Your Honor, we would.

14 MR. STEIN: That makes sense.

15 THE COURT: Yeah.

16 MR. KENT: Okay.

17 THE COURT: It sort of reminds them -- you know, the  
18 Allen charge will tell them there could be eight or ten other  
19 people sitting where you're sitting. How are they going to do  
20 any better?

21 Okay. So there was a word I wanted to change in  
22 here. This goes into the, "you should."

23 "I am now asking you to return to the jury room for  
24 further deliberations. In your deliberations" -- I'm going to  
25 say, "I ask that you reexamine the questions submitted with"

July 28, 2022

7996

1 -- instead of, "a proper regard and consideration for each  
2 other's opinions," what is a proper regard?

3 Can we say, "a healthy regard"? Something? What  
4 could we say?

5 MR. KENT: I'd just say "with regard."

6 THE COURT: "With regard." I like that. "With  
7 regard and consideration for each other's opinions."

8 Okay.

9 MR. KENT: One of the hallmarks of an Allen charge or  
10 any deliberation charge is to tell the jury you should not  
11 give up your own conscientiously-held beliefs in this process.  
12 I don't know if that's appropriate at this point.

13 THE COURT: I think I might want to say, "You should  
14 listen to each other's arguments with open minds and make  
15 every reasonable effort to reach a verdict, but remember" --  
16 and I'm going to go to the Allen charge.

17 MR. STEIN: Or if the Court were to look at page 40  
18 of the charge that you already gave, some of this language is  
19 already there.

20 MR. MAIMON: I'm concerned with adding more to this  
21 charge, Your Honor, than it does. And specifically in light  
22 of the Brika case.

23 THE COURT: What does Brika say?

24 MR. MAIMON: So Brika, there were two Allen charges  
25 given in the case. And the Sixth Circuit eventually reversed

July 28, 2022

7997

1 finding that the phraseology of the -- I forget whether --  
2 which one it was -- interfered too much with the jury.

3 I think that this charge -- and I have just one  
4 question about it. But I think this charge -- you know,  
5 you're always safe with pattern jury instructions. And the  
6 longer you make it, the more you try and put into it, the more  
7 potholes you create.

8 The question that I had is when it says, "In your  
9 deliberations, you should reexamine the questions submitted,"  
10 does that mean the questions on the verdict form, or does that  
11 mean the questions that they have submitted?

12 And it might be that earlier in the model charges,  
13 it's talking about, "We have submitted questions for you to  
14 answer." So it might be -- as a whole, it might be clear, but  
15 I think this is talking about the verdict questions.

16 MR. KENT: I agree.

17 THE COURT: I think it is, too.

18 So what should we say?

19 MR. MAIMON: I think we should just say, "In your  
20 deliberations, you should examine the jury questions or the  
21 verdict questionnaire or the verdict question" --

22 MR. STEIN: The verdict form.

23 THE COURT: The verdict form.

24 MR. MAIMON: "The verdict form with a regard" -- I  
25 don't care about taking "proper" out -- "with a regard and

July 28, 2022

7998

1 consideration for each other's opinions. You should listen to  
2 each other's arguments with open minds, and make every  
3 reasonable effort to reach a verdict."

4 Period. And we should stop there.

5 THE COURT: But the Brika case, it also -- I think  
6 one of the mistakes that was made was that the jurors were not  
7 reminded that they can hold on to their firmly held beliefs.

8 MR. MAIMON: Yes. But that was with the Allen  
9 charge.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. MAIMON: And that's word-for-word within the  
12 Allen charge.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. STEIN: Again, on page 40 of the charge, the last  
15 paragraph on that page, we've already given them a similar  
16 instruction.

17 MR. KENT: Page 40 of the --

18 THE COURT: Right. "Do not make a decision simply  
19 because other jurors think it is right or simply to reach a  
20 verdict."

21 Okay. I'll just --

22 MR. KENT: Refer them back to your instructions.  
23 Page 40. If you want to.

24 THE COURT: Why don't I do that. Why don't I say,  
25 "If you have any other questions or communications, please



July 28, 2022

7999

1 send another note. And please review the instruction on  
2 page 40 of the jury instructions."

3 MR. MAIMON: I would -- if we're going to put that  
4 in, I would put that in earlier in the instruction.

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MR. MAIMON: I would put it in before you say, "I'm  
7 now asking you -- I'm asking you to return to the jury room  
8 for further discussions. I would refer you to page 40 of the  
9 jury instructions. And also tell you that in your  
10 deliberations, you should examine the questions -- the verdict  
11 form that" -- you know, as the rest of the paragraph goes.

12 THE COURT: Okay. I like that.

13 Is that all right?

14 MR. STEIN: Yes.

15 MR. KENT: I agree.

16 THE COURT: I have so many edits to this. Okay. So  
17 we will get the jury in.

18 I think that after returning to the jury room, we  
19 should have a little bit of a scheduling conversation in light  
20 of the email I sent.

21 And thank you, all, for your well wishes. I  
22 appreciate it.

23 THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.

24 (Jury In)

25 THE COURT: All right. Welcome back. I'm used to

July 28, 2022

8000

1 saying that to the jury. Please be seated.

2 Okay. We received your note regarding -- from your  
3 jury foreperson letting me and, of course, I've let the  
4 lawyers know where things stand right now. So I have a  
5 further instruction to provide to you. And it reads as  
6 follows:

7 The Court has previously instructed you that it is  
8 your duty to determine the facts from evidence received in  
9 open court and to apply the law to the facts and in this way  
10 to decide the case. I'm going to now ask you to return to the  
11 jury room for further deliberations. And I refer you to  
12 page 40 of the jury instructions to review when you return to  
13 the jury room.

14 In your deliberations, please reexamine the verdict  
15 form with regard and consideration for each other's opinions.  
16 You should listen to each other's arguments with open minds  
17 and make every reasonable effort to reach a verdict. If you  
18 have any further questions or communications, please send  
19 another note. And that will be my instruction as of now.

20 Okay?

21 So we appreciate your hard work, and we'll just await  
22 any further communication. All right.

23 THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.

24 (Jury Out)

25 THE COURT: Please be seated.

July 28, 2022

8001

1                   So now we'll have discussion off the record for now.

2                   We can go on the record and put what we need on there.

3                                   (Off The Record)

4                                   (Proceedings Concluded)

5                                   -                   -                   -

6

7                                   CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

8                   I, Jeseca C. Eddington, Federal Official Court

9                   Reporter, do hereby certify the foregoing 19 pages are a true  
10                  and correct transcript of the above entitled proceedings.

11                  /s/ JESECA C. EDDINGTON  
12                  Jeseca C. Eddington, RDR, RMR, CRR, FCRR

07/28/2022  
Date

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25